## **District of Columbia**

School Management	D
Finance	C
Staffing: Hiring & Evaluation	В
Staffing: Removing Ineffective Teachers	F
Data	F
Pipeline to Postsecondary	No grade
Technology	D
State Reform Environment	?
Gold Stars	$\stackrel{\wedge}{\triangleright}$

**School Management.** The District of Columbia does a poor job managing its schools in a way that encourages thoughtful innovation. Ninety-two percent of teachers say that routine duties and paperwork interfere with their teaching, and the district's academic standards receive a below-average mark. However, the district has an excellent charter school law.

**Finance.** Overall, the district earns a middling grade in this category. The district gets a low mark for the simplicity of its school funding mechanism, and only 72% of principals report a major amount of control over the school budget, compared with the national average of 90%.

**Staffing: Hiring & Evaluation.** The district receives an above-average mark for its teacher hiring and evaluation system. Twenty-three percent of teachers enter the profession through an alternative certification program, compared with the national average of 13%. The district also earns a high mark for working with national programs to recruit non-traditional teachers and requires incoming teachers to pass basic skills and subject-knowledge tests.

**Staffing: Removing Ineffective Teachers.** The district receives a very low score on the ability to remove poor-performing teachers from the classroom. Seventy-seven percent of principals say that teacher unions or associations are a barrier to the removal of ineffective teachers, 16 percentage points above the national average of 61%. In addition, 67% percent of principals report that personnel policies are a barrier to removing poor-performing teachers.

**Data.** The district gets a very low mark for its data system. The district does not have a P-20 longitudinal data system and does not provide educators with an interactive school-level database for analysis.

**Pipeline to Postsecondary.** We are unable to grade the district for its efforts to improve college and career readiness because of insufficient data.

**Technology.** The district receives a low grade in this category. It does not require technology testing for teachers and does not offer a computer-based assessment. The district also needs to significantly improve how it evaluates its return on investments in technology.

**State Reform Environment.** There are few reliable state-by-state data on local education advocacy and research efforts—a reflection of the lack of overall commitment to this issue. As a result, we are unable to issue a meaningful grade. However, the district supports common academic standards.

**Gold Stars.** In the Staffing: Hiring & Evaluation category, the District of Columbia receives a gold star for participating in national programs authorized to certify nontraditional administrators. To receive a gold star in this category, a state must have approved New Leaders for New Schools to propose candidates for state certification.